

APPENDIX G
NOISE STUDY



*ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE STUDY
FOR THE PROPOSED
CITY PLACE SKY LOFTS
IN THE CITY OF SANTA ANA*

*Project File 929-07
July 3, 2007*

Prepared for:

*P&D Consultants
999 Town & Country Road, 4th Floor
Orange, CA 92868*

Prepared by:

David L. Wieland, Principal Consultant

*Wieland Associates, Inc.
2691 Richter Avenue, Suite 107
Irvine, CA 92606
Tel: 949/474-1222 Fax: 949/474-9122
www.wielandassoc.com*



Table of Contents

1 INTRODUCTION / PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1

2 NOISE DESCRIPTORS..... 2

 2.1 DECIBELS..... 2

 2.2 A-WEIGHTING..... 2

 2.3 EQUIVALENT SOUND LEVEL (L_{EQ}) 4

 2.4 COMMUNITY NOISE EQUIVALENT LEVEL (CNEL)..... 4

3 NOISE CRITERIA 4

 3.1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA NOISE INSULATION STANDARDS..... 4

 3.2 CITY OF SANTA ANA MUNICIPAL CODE 6

 3.3 CITY OF SANTA ANA GENERAL PLAN 6

4 THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE 7

5 STUDY METHODS AND PROCEDURES 7

6 EXISTING NOISE ENVIRONMENT 9

 6.1 NOISE MEASUREMENTS 9

 6.2 TRAFFIC NOISE EXPOSURES..... 9

 6.3 COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES 10

7 FUTURE NOISE ENVIRONMENT 10

 7.1 CONSTRUCTION 10

 7.2 COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES 11

 7.3 PROJECT OPERATION 11

 7.3.1 *Traffic*..... 11

 7.3.2 *Parking Structure*..... 13

 7.3.3 *Mechanical Equipment* 14

8 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS..... 15

9 MITIGATION MEASURES 15

10 ADDITIONAL NOISE ABATEMENT 16

11 PROJECT ALTERNATIVE 16

12 REFERENCES 16



List of Tables

Table 3-1. City of Santa Ana Municipal Code Non-Transportation Noise Level Limits 6

Table 3-2. City of Santa Ana Exterior Noise Standards 6

Table 6-1. Existing Traffic Noise Levels..... 9

Table 7-1. Construction Equipment Noise Levels..... 11

Table 7-2. Traffic Noise Exposure Levels, Year 2010 12

Table 7-3. Traffic Noise Exposure Levels, Year 2030 12

Table 7-4. Traffic Noise Contours, Year 2030 With Project 13

Table 7-5. Parking Structure Activity Noise Levels..... 14

Table 7-6. Analysis of Estimated Parking Structure Activity Noise Levels..... 14

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Project Site Location 1

Figure 2-1. Common Noise Sources and A-Weighted Noise Levels..... 3

Figure 2-2. Common CNEL Noise Exposure Levels at Various Locations 5

Figure 5-1. Noise Measurement Locations 8

List of Appendices

Appendix I. Noise Measurements

Appendix II. Traffic Noise Analysis



1 Introduction / Project Description

The purpose of this Noise Study is to identify and assess the potential noise impacts associated with the City Place Sky Lofts project to be located within the City of Santa Ana. Figure 1-1 identifies the location of the project site. The City Place Sky Lofts Project Area is approximately 2 acres of currently vacant land located at the northwest corner of Lawson and Jeanette Lane. The adjacent City Place development is currently under construction with a combination of 185 live-work, condominium and townhome units, as well as 57,700 square feet of commercial development. To the south is Santiago Park, to the east a senior housing facility and to the north is development within the City of Orange, including office and commercial uses.

The proposed City Place Sky Lofts project would involve the construction of 355 new residential dwelling units. A 27-story tower would include 335 units, and 20 two-story town homes would be located adjacent to the parking garage that would provide 789 parking spaces.




Figure 1-1. Project Site Location
(Source: Thomas Bros. Maps)



2 Noise Descriptors

The following sections briefly describe the noise descriptors that will be used throughout this study:

2.1 Decibels

Sound pressures can be measured in units called microPascals (μPa). However, expressing sound levels in terms of μPa would be very cumbersome as it would require a wide range of very large numbers. For this reason, sound pressure levels are described in logarithmic units of ratios of actual sound pressures to a reference pressure squared. These units are called bels. In order to provide a finer resolution, a bel is subdivided into 10 decibels, abbreviated dB.

As decibels are logarithmic units, sound pressure levels cannot be added or subtracted by ordinary arithmetic means. For example, if one automobile produces a sound pressure level of 70 dB when it passes an observer, two cars passing simultaneously would not produce 140 dB. In fact, they would combine to produce 73 dB. This same principle can be applied to other traffic quantities as well. In other words, doubling the traffic volume or speed of the traffic on a street will increase the traffic noise level by 3 dB. Conversely, halving the traffic volume or speed will reduce the traffic noise level by 3 dB.

2.2 A-Weighting

Sound pressure level alone is not a reliable indicator of loudness. The frequency or pitch of a sound also has a substantial effect on how humans will respond. While the intensity of the sound is a purely physical quantity, the loudness or human response depends on the characteristics of the human ear.

Human hearing is limited not only to the range of audible frequencies, but also in the way it perceives the sound pressure level in that range. In general, the healthy human ear is most sensitive to sounds between 1,000 Hertz (Hz) and 5,000 Hz, and is much less sensitive to both higher and lower frequency sounds. In order to approximate the frequency response of the human ear, a series of sound pressure level adjustments is usually applied to the sound measured by a sound level meter. The adjustments, or weighting network, are frequency dependent.

The A-scale approximates the frequency response of the average ear when listening to most ordinary everyday sounds. When people make relative judgments of the loudness or annoyance of a sound, their judgments correlate well with the A-scale sound levels of those sounds. When a sound level is measured in decibels using the A-scale it is denoted as dB(A). A range of noise levels associated with common in- and outdoor activities is shown in Figure 2-1.

The A-weighted sound level of traffic and other long-term noise-producing activities within and around a community varies considerably with time. Measurements of this varying noise level are accomplished by recording values of the A-weighted level during representative periods within a specified portion of the day.




Figure 2-1. Common Noise Sources and A-Weighted Noise Levels



2.3 Equivalent Sound Level (L_{eq})

Many noise sources produce levels that fluctuate over time; examples include mechanical equipment that cycles on and off, or construction work which can vary sporadically. The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) describes the average acoustic energy content of noise for an identified period of time, commonly 1 hour. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy over the duration of the exposure. For many noise sources, the L_{eq} will vary depending on the time of day – a primary example is traffic noise which rises and falls depending on the amount of traffic on a given street or freeway.

2.4 Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)

It is recognized that a given level of noise may be more or less tolerable depending on the duration of exposure experienced by an individual. There are numerous measures of noise exposure that consider not only the A-level variation of noise but also the duration of the disturbance. The State Department of Aeronautics and the California Commission on Housing and Community Development have adopted the community noise equivalent level (CNEL). This measure weights the average noise levels for the evening hours (7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.), increasing them by 5 dB, and weights the late evening and morning hour noise levels (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) by 10 dB. The daytime noise levels are combined with these weighted levels and are averaged to obtain a CNEL value. Figure 2-2 indicates the outdoor CNEL at typical locations.

3 Noise Criteria

The following sections discuss the various noise criteria that have been considered for this study.

3.1 State of California Noise Insulation Standards

The Noise Insulation Standards (Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations) states that the “interior community noise equivalent level (CNEL) attributable to exterior sources shall not exceed an annual CNEL of 45 dB in any habitable room.” Additionally, the standards specify that multifamily residential buildings or structures to be located within exterior CNEL contours of 60 dB or greater of an existing or adopted freeway, expressway, parkway, major street, thoroughfare, railroad, rapid transit line, or industrial noise source shall require an acoustical analysis showing that the building has been designed to limit intruding noise to the level prescribed (interior CNEL of 45 dB). In addition, the State standards set minimum ratings for the sound and impact transmission of common wall and floor/ceiling separation assemblies between living units, or between a living unit and a common interior space.




Figure 2-2. Common CNEL Noise Exposure Levels at Various Locations



3.2 City of Santa Ana Municipal Code

The City of Santa Ana Municipal Code identifies noise level limits for noise intrusion from non-transportation sources onto residential properties, as shown in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. City of Santa Ana Municipal Code Non-Transportation Noise Level Limits

Noise level that may not be exceeded for more than...	Daytime (7 am to 10 pm)	Nighttime (10 pm to 7 am)
30 minutes in any hour	55 dB(A)	50 dB(A)
15 minutes in any hour	60 dB(A)	55 dB(A)
5 minutes in any hour	65 dB(A)	60 dB(A)
1 minute in any hour	70 dB(A)	65 dB(A)
Anytime	75 dB(A)	70 dB(A)

In the event the alleged offensive noise consists entirely of impact noise, simple tone noise, speech, music, or any combination thereof, each of the above noise levels shall be reduced by 5 dB.

In the event the ambient noise level exceeds any of the first four noise limit categories in Table 3-1, the cumulative period applicable to the category shall be increased to reflect the ambient noise level. In the event the ambient noise level exceeds the fifth noise limit category, the maximum allowable noise level under the category shall be increased to reflect the maximum ambient noise level.

The City of Santa Ana Municipal Code exempts noise sources associated with construction, repair, remodeling, or grading of any real property, provided these activities do not take place between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, including Saturday, or any time on Sunday or a federal holiday.

3.3 City of Santa Ana General Plan

The City of Santa Ana General Plan identifies the following exterior noise standards for various land use categories:

Table 3-2. City of Santa Ana Exterior Noise Standards

Categories	Exterior ^a
Residential, Low Density	55/65 dB
Residential, Medium Density	60/65 dB
Residential, High Density	65/70 dB
Schools	60/70 dB
Commercial, Office	65/75 dB
Industrial	70/75 dB
<i>Note:</i> a. Desirable Maximum / Maximum Acceptable CNEL.	



4 Thresholds of Significance

Based on the noise criteria discussed above, and Appendix G of the CEQA guidelines, a significant impact would occur if implementation of the proposed project would result in:

- ◆ Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. This impact would occur if:
 - (1) Traffic resulting from implementation of the proposed project causes the CNEL to exceed the City of Santa Ana’s General Plan standards at existing off-site receptors; or
 - (2) The interior and/or exterior CNEL would exceed the City of Santa Ana’s General Plan standards at the project site; or
 - (3) The noise levels generated by off-site non-transportation sources exceed the exterior limits specified in the City of Santa Ana Municipal Code at the project site; or
 - (4) The noise levels generated by on-site non-transportation sources exceed the exterior limits specified in the City of Santa Ana Municipal Code at existing off-site receptors.
- ◆ Exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.
- ◆ A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project site above levels existing without the proposed project.
- ◆ A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project site above levels existing without the proposed project.
- ◆ Exposure of persons residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels as a result of activities at an airport. Since the project site is located outside of the planning area boundaries for the nearest airport (John Wayne Airport), this issue will not be addressed in the report.

5 Study Methods and Procedures

In order to document the existing noise environment, measurements were obtained at three locations within the study area, including the proposed project site. (Refer to Figure 5-1.) The ambient noise level measurements were obtained by positioning the sound level meter on the property at a height of 5 feet above the ground. The instrument was calibrated prior to obtaining the measurements. Extraneous noise sources (such as sirens) were excluded from the measurements by placing the sound level meter on “standby” until the noise event was concluded. The ambient noise measurements were obtained for a period of at least 20 minutes, by which time the measured noise levels had stabilized at constant values.




Figure 5-1. Noise Measurement Locations
(Source: Thomas Bros. Maps)

The instrumentation used to obtain the noise measurements consisted of an integrating sound level meter (Model 824) and an acoustical calibrator (Model CAL250) manufactured by Larson Davis Laboratories. The accuracy of the calibrator is maintained through a program established by the manufacturer, and is traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. All instrumentation meets the requirements of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) S1.4-1971.

A proprietary version of the highway traffic noise prediction model developed by the Federal Highway Administration (as described in report FHWA-RD-77-108) was used to model existing traffic noise levels and to predict future year traffic noise levels. This model predicts noise levels based on traffic volumes, speeds, truck mix, site conditions, and distance from the roadway to the receptor. The California reference energy mean emission (Calveno) levels developed by Caltrans were used in the prediction model.



6 Existing Noise Environment

Traffic on the local streets is the predominant source of noise that currently affects the study area. The study area is defined as the proposed project site plus land uses adjacent to all of the arterial segments considered in the traffic study prepared by P&D Consultants (Reference 1). Other noise sources include the commercial properties to the north of the project site. The following sections discuss the noise measurements and analyses that were conducted to identify the existing noise levels in the study area.

6.1 Noise Measurements

As indicated in Section 5, measurements were obtained at three locations in the study area in order to document the existing noise environment. (Refer to Figure 5-1.) The locations are identified as follows:

- #1 - Adjacent to Western Dental at 530 Main Street.
- #2 - On the project site off Lawson Way.
- #3 - Adjacent to the motel at 2222 Main Street.

The results of the noise measurements are provided in Appendix I, and are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

6.2 Traffic Noise Exposures

The results of the noise measurements were used to calibrate a proprietary version of the highway traffic noise prediction model developed by the Federal Highway Administration (as described in report FHWA-RD-77-108). The results of the modeling effort, provided in Appendix II, are summarized in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1. Existing Traffic Noise Levels

Street Segment	Unmitigated CNEL @ 50'	Distance to CNEL Contour Line				
		60 dB	65 dB	70 dB	75 dB	80 dB
<i>Lawson Way</i>						
Town & Country to Memory	59.0 dB	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Main Street</i>						
La Veta to Town & Country	71.5 dB	368'	170'	69'	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	68.5 dB	235'	100'	---	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	68.5 dB	235'	100'	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	68.0 dB	215'	90'	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	69.0 dB	255'	110'	---	---	---
<i>Memory Lane</i>						
Main to Lawson	63.0 dB	90'	---	---	---	---



6.3 Commercial Activities

The noise sources associated with the commercial properties to the north of the project site include truck movements and loading dock activities, activities in the parking lots, rooftop mechanical equipment, and vehicle movements in the parking structure. These noise sources are very sporadic, occurring primarily during the daytime hours. It has been assumed in this study that the owners of the commercial properties are operating in compliance with the City's Municipal Code standards.

7 Future Noise Environment

7.1 Construction

In compliance with the City of Santa Ana Municipal Code requirements, construction of the proposed project would occur only between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. on Monday through Saturday. The Municipal Code exempts temporary construction, repair, and demolition activities from the noise level limits, providing the activity occurs between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. on Monday through Saturday. There will be no construction activities on Sundays or legal holidays. As a result, the impact of construction noise will not be significant.

Construction noise levels on and near the project site would fluctuate depending on the particular type, number and duration of use of various pieces of construction equipment. The exposure of persons to the periodic increase in noise levels will be short-term. Table 7-1 shows typical noise levels associated with various types of construction-related machinery.

Groundborne vibration is measured in terms of the velocity of the vibration oscillations. As with noise, a logarithmic decibel scale (VdB) is used to quantify vibration intensity. When groundborne vibration exceeds 72 to 80 VdB, it is usually perceived as annoying to occupants of residential buildings. For commercial land uses, the threshold is 75 to 83 VdB. (Source: Reference 5.) The degree of annoyance is dependent upon individual sensitivity to vibration, and the frequency of the vibration events. Typically, vibration levels must exceed 100 VdB before building damage occurs.

The primary vibratory source during the construction of the project would be large bulldozers. Based on published data (Reference 5), typical bulldozer activities generate an approximate vibration level of 87 VdB at a distance of 25 feet. It is possible that vibration will be perceived if bulldozers operate within about 56 to 140 feet of a residence, or within about 40 to 100 feet of a commercial building. However, the impact is not considered significant because of the short duration of the activity, and because the vibration levels would be well below the threshold of building damage.



Table 7-1. Construction Equipment Noise Levels

Equipment Type	Typical Average Equipment Noise Level at 100 ft. in dB(A) ^a
Air Compressor	75
Backhoe	75
Concrete Mixer	75
Concrete Pump	75
Dozer	75
Generator	75
Grader	75
Loader	75
Paver	80
Pneumatic Tools	80
Pump	75
Scraper	80
Trucks	75

Source: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1971.

Notes:

a. With noise controls applied. Obtainable by selecting quieter procedures or machines and implementing noise control features such as improved mufflers, use of silencers, shields, shrouds, ducts and engine enclosures.

7.2 Commercial Activities

As indicated in Section 6.3, activities at the commercial properties north of the project site produce sporadic noise levels that are experienced primarily during the daytime hours. It is assumed that the owners of the commercial properties are operating in compliance with the City’s Municipal Code standards. Therefore, the impact is not significant.

7.3 Project Operation

Implementation of the proposed project would result in the introduction of a number of new noise sources. These noise sources include: traffic, activities in the parking structures, and mechanical equipment. Each of these sources is discussed in greater detail in the following sections. Operation of the proposed project would not generate ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels.

7.3.1 Traffic

Using data provided by P&D Consultants, analyses were conducted to identify the future traffic noise exposures that would occur in the study area, both with and without the proposed project. Some of the street segments in the following tables are adjacent to the project site, while most are outside the site. Appendix II provides the complete analyses.



Table 7-2 provides the results of the analysis for near-term conditions (year 2010).

Table 7-2. Traffic Noise Exposure Levels, Year 2010

<i>Street Segment</i>	Average Daily Traffic		CNEL at 50' from Near Lane Centerline		Change in CNEL Due to Project
	Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project	
<i>Lawson Way</i>					
Town & Country to Memory	6,125	7,477	60.5 dB	61.0 dB	0.5 dB
<i>Main Street</i>					
La Veta to Town & Country	53,719	54,280	72.5 dB	72.5 dB	0.0 dB
Town & Country to Memory	41,642	41,642	69.0 dB	69.0 dB	0.0 dB
Memory to Edgewood	46,512	47,136	69.5 dB	69.5 dB	0.0 dB
Edgewood to Santa Clara	41,875	42,395	69.0 dB	69.0 dB	0.0 dB
Santa Clara to Buffalo	46,458	46,874	69.5 dB	69.5 dB	0.0 dB
<i>Memory Lane</i>					
Main to Lawson	9,838	10,566	64.0 dB	64.5 dB	0.5 dB

- ◆ Referring to Table 7-2, it may be concluded that in the near term (by year 2010) implementation of the proposed project would result in an increase of at most 0.5 dB in the traffic-generated CNEL. This is not a substantial permanent increase in the ambient noise level; therefore the impact is not significant.
- ◆ Referring to Table 7-2, it may be concluded that in the near term (by year 2010) implementation of the proposed project would not result in an increase of the traffic-generated CNEL above the City of Santa Ana General Plan standards at any existing off-site receptor; therefore, the impact is not significant.

Table 7-3 provides the results of the analysis for the buildout year (2030).

Table 7-3. Traffic Noise Exposure Levels, Year 2030

<i>Street Segment</i>	Average Daily Traffic		CNEL at 50' from Near Lane Centerline		Change in CNEL Due to Project
	Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project	
<i>Lawson Way</i>					
Town & Country to Memory	16,448	17,800	63.0 dB	63.5 dB	0.5 dB
<i>Main Street</i>					
La Veta to Town & Country	49,338	49,900	72.0 dB	72.5 dB	0.5 dB
Town & Country to Memory	52,100	52,100	70.0 dB	70.0 dB	0.0 dB
Memory to Edgewood	34,576	35,200	68.5 dB	68.5 dB	0.0 dB
Edgewood to Santa Clara	34,880	35,400	68.0 dB	68.5 dB	0.5 dB
Santa Clara to Buffalo	33,484	33,900	68.0 dB	68.5 dB	0.5 dB
<i>Memory Lane</i>					
Main to Lawson	11,572	12,300	64.5 dB	65.0 dB	0.5 dB



- ◆ Referring to Table 7-3, it may be concluded that by the year 2030 implementation of the proposed project would result in an increase of at most 0.5 dB in the traffic-generated CNEL. This is not a substantial permanent increase in the ambient noise level; therefore the impact is not significant.
- ◆ Referring to Table 7-3, it may be concluded that by the year 2030 implementation of the proposed project would not result in an increase of the traffic-generated CNEL above the City of Santa Ana General Plan standards at any existing off-site receptor; therefore, the impact is not significant.

Table 7-4 provides the traffic noise contours for the buildout year of 2030 with the project.

Table 7-4. Traffic Noise Contours, Year 2030 With Project

Street Segment	Unmitigated CNEL @ 50'	Distance to CNEL Contour Line				
		60 dB	65 dB	70 dB	75 dB	80 dB
<i>Lawson Way</i>						
Town & Country to Memory	63.5 dB	100'	---	---	---	---
<i>Main Street</i>						
La Veta to Town & Country	72.5 dB	428'	200'	83'	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	70.0 dB	300'	130'	50'	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	68.5 dB	235'	100'	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	68.5 dB	235'	100'	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	68.5 dB	235'	100'	---	---	---
<i>Memory Lane</i>						
Main to Lawson	65.0 dB	130'	50'	---	---	---

- ◆ Referring to Table 7-4, by the year 2030, the exterior CNEL is not expected to exceed the City of Santa Ana’s General Plan residential standard of 65 dB at the proposed project site because the nearest exterior living area is more than 130 feet from Main Street, and more than 50 feet from Memory Lane. Therefore, the impact is not significant.

7.3.2 Parking Structure

The predominant noise sources associated with parking structure activities include car doors slamming; cars starting; cars accelerating away from the parking stalls; car alarms being activated; brake squeal; and suspension squeal when vehicles pass over speed bumps. (Ventilation fans are another noise source associated with underground parking structures, and will be addressed in Section 7.3.3.)

To characterize the noise sources, measurements obtained at an existing parking structure as part of a previous study were utilized (Reference 7). The results of the measurements are summarized in Table 7-5.

Activities at the parking structure will be sporadic in nature, occurring throughout the day with the highest concentration of activities during the peak morning and afternoon periods. To estimate the average noise level that will be generated by these activities, an analysis was conducted using the measured data identified above, as well as the data provided in the traffic impact analysis report



(Reference 1). The results of the analysis, as well as the assumptions used in the calculations, are provided in Table 7-6

Table 7-5. Parking Structure Activity Noise Levels

Noise Source	Maximum Noise Level @ 50' from Source
Suspension squeal	65 dB(A)
Keyless remote entry	64 dB(A)
Car door slam	57 dB(A)
Car starting	61 dB(A)
Car backing out	55 dB(A)
Car driving away	62 dB(A)
Brake squeal	59 dB(A)

Table 7-6. Analysis of Estimated Parking Structure Activity Noise Levels

Noise Source	Max. Level @ 50', dB(A)	Estimated Duration of 1 Event, sec.	Estimated No. of Events per Hour	Estimated Duration of Events, sec.	Correction for Duration of Events, dB	Estimated Hourly Avg. Noise Level @ 50', dB(A)
Suspension squeal	65	1	245	245	-12	53
Keyless remote entry	64	1	80	80	-17	47
Car door	57	0.1	245	24.5	-22	35
Car start	61	1	80	80	-17	44
Car backing out	55	5	80	400	-10	45
Car driving away	62	5	80	400	-10	52
Brake squeal	59	1	245	245	-12	47
Estimated Average Noise Level, dB(A):						57

Based on the analysis of Table 7-6 it is estimated that parking structure activities will generate an average noise level of 57 dB(A) at a distance of 50 feet. Projecting this level to the distance of the nearest off-site residences to the south, west and east (at least 100 feet) yields an estimated noise level of 51 dB(A). The maximum noise level is estimated to be about 59 dB(A) at the same distance. These noise levels comply with the City’s Municipal Code standards of 55 dB(A) and 75 dB(A) for median and maximum noise levels, respectively. (Refer to Table 3-1 for the standards.) Therefore, the impact is not significant at these locations. (The impact of parking structure noise on the residences within the project site has not been addressed in this study. This is because the City’s Municipal Code standards apply only to noise propagation across a property line, and there is no property line between the proposed parking structure and the on-site residences.)

7.3.3 Mechanical Equipment

Mechanical equipment noise will be associated with the ventilation system for the underground portion of the parking structure, with the mechanical system for the residential tower, and with the outdoor amenities. Depending on the type of equipment and where it is located relative to the nearby



properties, the unmitigated noise levels produced by the mechanical equipment may exceed the City of Santa Ana's Municipal Code standards at the adjacent off-site properties. Therefore, the impact is potentially significant at these locations. (The impact of mechanical equipment noise on the residences within the project site has not been addressed in this study. This is because the City's Municipal Code standards apply only to noise propagation across a property line, and there is no property line between the proposed mechanical equipment and the on-site residences.)

8 Summary of Impacts

Using the criteria established in this Noise Study, the following may be concluded regarding the impact of the proposed project:

- ◆ Implementation of the proposed project will not result in the exposure of persons to transportation-related noise levels in excess of standards established in the City of Santa Ana's General Plan. Therefore, the impact is not significant.
- ◆ Implementation of the proposed project may result in exposure of persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the City of Santa Ana's Municipal Code. This potentially significant impact may occur at off-site residential properties in the near vicinity of the proposed mechanical equipment installation(s).
- ◆ Implementation of the proposed project would not result in generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. Therefore, the impact is not significant.
- ◆ Implementation of the proposed project would not result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project site above levels existing without the proposed project as a result of activities at the site.
- ◆ Construction of the proposed project may produce a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity above levels existing without the proposed project. However, construction activities that comply with the time and day restrictions provided in the City of Santa Ana's Municipal Code are not required to comply with any noise limitations. Therefore, the impact is not significant.

9 Mitigation Measures

The final design of the mechanical systems must comply with the noise standards of the City of Santa Ana's Municipal Code (see Section 3.2 of this report for details). It is anticipated that compliance with these standards will be verified by an acoustical analysis conducted by a qualified acoustical consultant during the final design phase of the project. If this analysis indicates that the mechanical systems, as designed, will fail to meet the noise standards, further noise control measures shall be incorporated into the design to provide compliance. This may include such considerations as: selection of quieter mechanical equipment, location of mechanical equipment and its associated



intakes and exhausts, use of parapet walls or other acoustical shielding, and use of silencers or acoustical louvers.

10 Additional Noise Abatement

For noise sources where no significant impact has been assessed, the following measures may be used to further reduce noise levels and reduce the potential for annoyance at residential properties:

- ◆ The floor at each level of both parking structures should be brushed concrete to provide a rough surface to minimize tire squeal.
- ◆ Continuous concrete speed bumps with a height of 3", as well as posted signs, should be used to minimize vehicle speeds in the parking structure.
- ◆ All construction and demolition equipment should be fitted with properly sized mufflers.

11 Project Alternative

Only the No Project/Existing Conditions Alternative has been considered in this study. Under this alternative, the status quo would be maintained and the proposed project would not be built. However, development in the area would continue in accordance with the City of Santa Ana General Plan and zoning map. Traffic volumes on the arterials, and hence traffic noise levels, would increase as the area grows. This is illustrated in Table 7-3 for "Future Year (2030)" conditions "without project". New noise sources associated with the proposed project would not be introduced into the study area.

12 References

1. *City Place Sky Lofts Traffic Impact Study, Santa Ana, California*. P&D Consultants. May 23, 2007.
2. *Noise Element of the General Plan for the City of Santa Ana*.
3. *City of Santa Ana Municipal Code*.
4. *Noise from Construction Equipment and Operations, Building Equipment, and Home Appliances*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. December 31, 1971.
5. *Transit Noise and Vibration Assessment*. Harris, Miller, Miller and Hanson, Inc. April 1995.
6. *Information of Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. March 1974.



7. *Environmental Noise Study for the Shaffer Street Parking Structure at Chapman University, Orange, CA.* Wieland Associates, Inc. November 27, 2001.


APPENDIX I

Noise Measurements

Table I-1. Noise Survey

Project: City Place Sky Lofts
 Position: #1, Adjacent to Western Dental,
 530 Main Street
 Date: May 24, 2007
 Time: Noted
 Noise Source: Ambient (Traffic on Main Street),
 Distance: 56' from curb of Main Street
 SLM Height: 5'
 LD 824 S/N: 3536
 LD CAL250
 Calibrator S/N: 2966
 Operator: Thomas Corbishley

n*	Measurement Period		
	2:06 PM to 2:38 PM	to	to
Ln	Ln	Ln	Ln
2	70.5		
8	67.9		
25	65.8		
50	64.1		
90	61.4		
99	59.1		
Leq	65.4		
Lmax	82.1		
Lmin	58.5		




* Leq is the average sound level during the measurement period.
 Ln is the sound level exceeded n% of the time during the measurement period.
 Lmax and Lmin are the maximum and minimum sound levels during the measurement period.

Table I-2. Noise Survey

Project: City Place Sky Lofts
 Position: #2, Project site
 Date: May 24, 2007
 Time: Noted
 Noise Source: Ambient (Traffic on Lawson Way, Main Street, and I-5)
 Distance: 21' from curb of Lawson Way
 SLM Height: 5'
 LD 824 S/N: 3536
 LD CAL250
 Calibrator S/N: 2966
 Operator: Thomas Corbishley

	Measurement Period		
	12:44 PM to 12:49 PM	to	to
n*	Ln	Ln	Ln
2	69.8		
8	66.5		
25	59.4		
50	54.2		
90	52.0		
99	51.7		
Leq	61.1		
Lmax	73.5		
Lmin	51.6		




* Leq is the average sound level during the measurement period.
 Ln is the sound level exceeded n% of the time during the measurement period.
 Lmax and Lmin are the maximum and minimum sound levels during the measurement period.

Table I-3. Noise Survey

Project: City Place Sky Lofts
 Position: #3, Motel at 2222 Main Street
 Date: May 24, 2007
 Time: Noted
 Noise Source: Ambient (Traffic on Main Street and I-5),
 Distance: 13' from curb of Main Street
 SLM Height: 5'
 LD 824 S/N: 3536
 LD CAL250
 Calibrator S/N: 2966
 Operator: Thomas Corbishley

	Measurement Period		
	3:12 PM to 3:19 PM	to	to
n*	Ln	Ln	Ln
2	80.6		
8	75.1		
25	73.1		
50	70.4		
90	67.6		
99	66.5		
Leq	72.9		
Lmax	86.5		
Lmin	66.1		



* Leq is the average sound level during the measurement period.
 Ln is the sound level exceeded n% of the time during the measurement period.
 Lmax and Lmin are the maximum and minimum sound levels during the measurement period.

APPENDIX II

Traffic Noise Analysis

Table II-1. Distance to Existing CNEL Contour Lines, City Place Sky Lofts

Arterial / Reach	Arterial Type*	Speed Limit, mph	Elev.	% Trucks		Avg. Daily Traffic Exist	CNEL @ 50' From Near Lane C/L Existing	Distance to Existing Contours From Near Lane Centerline, feet				
				Med.	Hvy			60dB	65dB	70dB	75dB	80dB
LAWSON WAY												
Town & Country to Memory	1	25	AT	1.8%	0.7%	4,647	59.0	---	---	---	---	---
MAIN STREET												
La Veta to Town & Country	5	40	AT	1.8%	0.7%	44,050	71.5	368	170	69	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	34,035	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	36,856	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	33,625	68.0	215	90	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	38,658	69.0	255	110	---	---	---
MEMORY LANE												
Main to Lawson	4	35	AT	1.8%	0.7%	7,996	63.0	90	---	---	---	---

* Arterial Types: 1) 2 lanes, 35 mph or less; 2) 2 lanes, 40 mph; 3) 2 lanes, 45 mph or more; 4) 4-6 lanes, 35 mph or less; 5) 4-6 lanes, 40 mph; 6) 4-6 lanes, 45 mph or more; 7) 4-6 lane freeway, 55 mph or more; 8) 8 lane freeway, 55 mph or more.

Notes:

AT, 'ABOVE', and 'BELOW' refer to the elevation of the arterial relative to the surrounding area.

Table II-2. Distance to Near-Term (2010) Without Project CNEL Contour Lines, City Place Sky Lofts

Arterial / Reach	Arterial Type*	Speed Limit, mph	Elev.	% Trucks		Avg. Daily Traffic 2010	CNEL @ 50' From Near Lane C/L 2010	Distance to Near-Term (2010) Without Project Contours From Near Lane Centerline, feet				
				Med.	Hvy			60dB	65dB	70dB	75dB	80dB
LAWSON WAY												
Town & Country to Memory	1	25	AT	1.8%	0.7%	6,125	60.5	56	---	---	---	---
MAIN STREET												
La Veta to Town & Country	5	40	AT	1.8%	0.7%	53,719	72.5	428	200	83	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	41,642	69.0	255	110	---	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	46,512	69.5	278	120	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	41,875	69.0	255	110	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	46,458	69.5	278	120	---	---	---
MEMORY LANE												
Main to Lawson	4	35	AT	1.8%	0.7%	9,838	64.0	110	---	---	---	---

* Arterial Types: 1) 2 lanes, 35 mph or less; 2) 2 lanes, 40 mph; 3) 2 lanes, 45 mph or more; 4) 4-6 lanes, 35 mph or less; 5) 4-6 lanes, 40 mph; 6) 4-6 lanes, 45 mph or more; 7) 4-6 lane freeway, 55 mph or more; 8) 8 lane freeway, 55 mph or more.

Notes:

AT, 'ABOVE', and 'BELOW' refer to the elevation of the arterial relative to the surrounding area.

Table II-3. Distance to Near-Term (2010) With Project CNEL Contour Lines, City Place Sky Lofts

Arterial / Reach	Arterial Type*	Speed Limit, mph	Elev.	% Trucks		Avg. Daily Traffic 2010	CNEL @ 50' From Near Lane C/L 2010	Distance to Near-Term (2010) With Project Contours From Near Lane Centerline, feet				
				Med.	Hvy			60dB	65dB	70dB	75dB	80dB
LAWSON WAY												
Town & Country to Memory	1	25	AT	1.8%	0.7%	7,477	61.0	62	---	---	---	---
MAIN STREET												
La Veta to Town & Country	5	40	AT	1.8%	0.7%	54,280	72.5	428	200	83	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	41,642	69.0	255	110	---	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	47,136	69.5	278	120	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	42,395	69.0	255	110	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	46,874	69.5	278	120	---	---	---
MEMORY LANE												
Main to Lawson	4	35	AT	1.8%	0.7%	10,566	64.5	120	---	---	---	---

* Arterial Types: 1) 2 lanes, 35 mph or less; 2) 2 lanes, 40 mph; 3) 2 lanes, 45 mph or more; 4) 4-6 lanes, 35 mph or less; 5) 4-6 lanes, 40 mph; 6) 4-6 lanes, 45 mph or more; 7) 4-6 lane freeway, 55 mph or more; 8) 8 lane freeway, 55 mph or more.

Notes:

AT, 'ABOVE', and 'BELOW' refer to the elevation of the arterial relative to the surrounding area.

Table II-4. Distance to Buildout (2030) Without Project CNEL Contour Lines, City Place Sky Lofts

Arterial / Reach	Arterial Type*	Speed Limit, mph	Elev.	% Trucks		Avg. Daily Traffic 2030	CNEL @ 50' From Near Lane C/L 2030	Distance to Buildout (2030) Without Project Contours From Near Lane Centerline, feet				
				Med.	Hvy			60dB	65dB	70dB	75dB	80dB
LAWSON WAY												
Town & Country to Memory	4	25	AT	1.8%	0.7%	16,448	63.0	90	---	---	---	---
MAIN STREET												
La Veta to Town & Country	5	40	AT	1.8%	0.7%	49,338	72.0	395	185	75	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	52,100	70.0	300	130	50	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	34,576	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	34,880	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	33,484	68.0	215	90	---	---	---
MEMORY LANE												
Main to Lawson	4	35	AT	1.8%	0.7%	11,572	64.5	120	---	---	---	---

* Arterial Types: 1) 2 lanes, 35 mph or less; 2) 2 lanes, 40 mph; 3) 2 lanes, 45 mph or more; 4) 4-6 lanes, 35 mph or less; 5) 4-6 lanes, 40 mph; 6) 4-6 lanes, 45 mph or more; 7) 4-6 lane freeway, 55 mph or more; 8) 8 lane freeway, 55 mph or more.

Notes:

AT, 'ABOVE', and 'BELOW' refer to the elevation of the arterial relative to the surrounding area.

Table II-5. Distance to Buildout (2030) With Project CNEL Contour Lines, City Place Sky Lofts

Arterial / Reach	Arterial Type*	Speed Limit, mph	Elev.	% Trucks		Avg. Daily Traffic 2030	CNEL @ 50' From Near Lane C/L 2030	Distance to Buildout (2030) With Project Contours From Near Lane Centerline, feet				
				Med.	Hvy			60dB	65dB	70dB	75dB	80dB
LAWSON WAY												
Town & Country to Memory	4	25	AT	1.8%	0.7%	17,800	63.5	100	---	---	---	---
MAIN STREET												
La Veta to Town & Country	5	40	AT	1.8%	0.7%	49,900	72.5	428	200	83	---	---
Town & Country to Memory	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	52,100	70.0	300	130	50	---	---
Memory to Edgewood	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	35,200	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Edgewood to Santa Clara	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	35,400	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
Santa Clara to Buffalo	4	30	AT	1.8%	0.7%	33,900	68.5	235	100	---	---	---
MEMORY LANE												
Main to Lawson	4	35	AT	1.8%	0.7%	12,300	65.0	130	50	---	---	---

* Arterial Types: 1) 2 lanes, 35 mph or less; 2) 2 lanes, 40 mph; 3) 2 lanes, 45 mph or more; 4) 4-6 lanes, 35 mph or less; 5) 4-6 lanes, 40 mph; 6) 4-6 lanes, 45 mph or more; 7) 4-6 lane freeway, 55 mph or more; 8) 8 lane freeway, 55 mph or more.

Notes:

AT, 'ABOVE', and 'BELOW' refer to the elevation of the arterial relative to the surrounding area.